

APPENDIX 2: Consultation Analysis

The consultation responses range from detailed letters to single questions. The nature of the proposals is not given to simple yes or no responses. This is evidenced below by the number of responses that raised issues rather than offering simple support or opposition. It is very important that consultees respond in detail to support their views and make suggestions in order that the Council can use the consultation response to positively shape proposals. It is therefore a matter of some interpretation to categorise every individual response. The following analysis is based on the emails and e-forms submitted and therefore does not include for example, comments made during workshops. This analysis helps ensure that the weight given to different themes in the report's narrative description and the next steps identified are fair reflections of the consultation.

Overall Responses

- Express support – 16%
(including for example 'support', 'agree', 'welcome the proposal')
- Express concern or raise issues – 37%
(not clearly expressing support or opposition, but mentioning concerns)
- Express opposition – 28%
(including for example 'oppose', 'do not support', 'against the proposal')
- Support alternative proposal – 16%
(opposition to the proposal and with a clear statement of support for an alternative – mainly the addition of junior places at Clifford and a smaller expansion of Ecclesall Infant)
- Asked questions without expressing support or opposition – 3%

Concerns and Issues

Of those that expressed concern or raised issues (the 37% stated above):

- 1 - Transition of pupils 87%
- 2 - Traffic, parking and highways 76%
- 3 - Impact on Clifford Infants and Ecclesall Junior 44%
- 4 - Consultation process 25%
- 5 - Impact on green/open space 21%
- 6 - The need for places in the area 10%

This page is intentionally left blank